UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/765,309	01/27/2004	Junji Nishii	10873.1394US01	9395		
52835 7590 01/22/2007 HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C. P.O. BOX 2902			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			STAHL, M	STAHL, MICHAEL J		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•			2874	·		
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			01/22/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/765,309	NISHII ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Mike Stahl	2874	

•	LXGIIIIIO	ALCOING	ĺ				
•	Mike Stahl	2874					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI		-					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing that the mailing date the mailing date.	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	" - " OF OF 14 OF	E. 1 10 1 1					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extean Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of le appeal. Since				
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		i L below),					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	•	ducina or simplifyina	the issues for				
appeal; and/or							
(d)⊠ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>15-21</u> . Claim(s) rejected: 1-14 and 22-25.							
Claim(s) vithdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
		0					
	ey Bovernick	–Mike_Stahl M∫S					
	y Patent Examiner	Art Uni 2874					
IOCANOR	ogy Center 2800	571-272-2360					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: While the limitations added to proposed claims 1 and 22 appear to distinguish over Fournier fig. 7, the removal of the limitation "the concave portion is devoid of the covering layer" potentially makes the claims susceptible to new prior art rejections, so further search and consideration would be needed. As one example, it is noted that the limitation removed by the proposed amendment was added in a previous amendment, and specifically distinguished the claims over the fig. 2 embodiment of Fournier relied upon in an earlier action. If this limitation is deleted, the fig. 2 embodiment would return to relevance.